## EVALUATION OF SOME GASEOUS AIR POLLUTION STATUS IN EFFURUN AND ITS ENVIRONS, DELTA STATE, NIGERIA.

 $\mathbf{BY}$ 

# **OGUNAME**, Ufuoma Sebastian *PG/12/13/215164*

#### **B.Sc INDUSTRIAL CHEMISTRY**

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY, ABRAKA.

OCTOBER, 2016.

## EVALUATION OF SOME GASEOUS AIR POLLUTION STATUS IN EFFURUN AND ITS ENVIRONS, DELTA STATE, NIGERIA.

BY

# **OGUNAME**, Ufuoma Sebastian *PG/12/13/215164*

#### **B.SC DELSU, ABRAKA**

A RESEARCH PROJECT SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF MASTER OF SCIENCE DEGREE IN CHEMISTRY (ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTRY), DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY, ABRAKA.

SUPERVISOR DR. O.O. EMOYAN

OCTOBER, 2016.

#### **CERTIFICATION**

T	his is to cer	tify tha	t this research	h wo	ork "Evalua	ation of	air pol	lution status
in select	ed sites in	Niger	Delta region,	Nig	geria" was	carried	out by	y Oguname,
Ufuoma	Sebastian	in the	Department	of	Chemistry	, Delta	State	University,
Abraka.								
	<b>O. Emoyar</b> Supervisor	1			-	D	ate	
	. <b>Akporido</b> Department				-	D	ate	

### **DEDICATION**

This research work is dedicated to God Almighty for his grace; tender mercies and enablement which enabled me to finish this academic pursuit.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

My profound gratitude goes to God Almighty for being the essence of my academic provision and beyond. I ascribe all glory to Him.

I specially appreciate the effort of my supervisor Dr. O.O Emoyan whose constructive and diligent guidance saw me through the various stages of this study. Your efforts in ensuring the completion of the project work despite your busy schedule is highly commendable. Surely, it is indeed an honor to acknowledge all the lecturers in the Department of Chemistry.

My sincere appreciation also goes to my parents and also to my my uncle Capt. Betine Jombo, my sister and brothers, and all my friends who contributed to the success of this work. I cannot describe my level of indebtedness to you all. Thanks for being part of my life because you are the best.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER PAGE	i
TITLE PAGE	ii
CERTIFICATION	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
ABSTRACT	viii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
Background to the study	1
Statement of the problem	4
Objective of the study	4
Significance of the study	5
Scope of the study	5
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	6
Air Pollution	6
Sources of Air Pollution	8
Classifications of Air Pollutants	10
Effect of Air Pollution	14
Preventive Measures/ Sustainable solutions to air Pollution Problems	20
Review of Empirical Literature	23
CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS	25
Description of Study Area	25
Materials	27
Sampling and Sample Collection	27
Determination of Air Quality Parameter	29

Methods	29
Statistical Analysis	29
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	30
Summary of findings	46
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	48
Conclusion	48
Recommendations	48
Contribution to Knowledge	49
References	50
Appendix I	57
Appendix II	61
Appendix III	65

#### **ABSTRACT**

The concentration of air pollutants such as carbon monoxide (CO), Carbon (IV) oxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), Hydrogen sulphide (H<sub>2</sub>S), Nitrous oxide (NO) and Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) were determined in selected sites in effurun and its environs Delta State Nigeria using aeroqual gas detector kit model American Standard for Testing Materials (ASTM) D3249-95 (2011). The sampled stations were; Deco junction (05°31'36.5N, 005<sup>0</sup>45'99.2E), Airport junction (05<sup>0</sup>32'88.0N, 005<sup>0</sup> 46' 81.5E), Petroleum Training Institute junction (05°34'38.6N, 005°48'00.2E) and Oviore junction (05°39' 48.2N,  $005^{0}55^{2}52.5E$ ) respectively. Results showed that CO ranged from  $5.01 - 7.90\mu g/m^{3}$  in Deco junction 6.01 – 8.80µg/m³ in Airport junction, 7.01 – 8.20µg/m³ in Petroleum Training Institute junction and  $3.20 - 4.60 \mu g/m^3$  in Oviore junction (control); the mean concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> ranged from 3.20 – 16.20µg/m<sup>3</sup> in Deco junction, 11.00 – 15.90μg/m³ in Airport junction, 11.90 – 15.80μg/m³ in Petroleum Training Institute junction and  $6.50 - 8.80 \text{mg/m}^3$  in Oviore junction; the mean concentration of H<sub>2</sub>S ranged from 0.13-1.60µg/m<sup>3</sup> in Deco junction, 0.09 – 0.15µg/m<sup>3</sup> in Airport junction,  $0.01 - 0.19 \mu g/m^3$  in Petroleum Training Institute junction and  $0.01 - 0.40 \mu g/m^3$  in Oviore junction; also the mean concentration of NO ranged from  $0.10 - 0.18 \mu g/m^3$  in Deco junction,  $0.02 - 0.90 \mu g/m^3$  in Airport junction,  $0.01-0.11 \mu g/m^3$  in Petroleum Training Institute junction and  $0.01 - 0.10 \mu g/m^3$  and Oviore junction and The mean concentration of CH<sub>4</sub> ranged from 3.20 - 5.20µg/m<sup>3</sup> in Deco junction, 3.02 - $4.33\mu g/m^3$  in Airport junction,  $4.09 - 4.69\mu g/m^3$  in Petroleum Training Institute junction and  $0.00 - 0.09 \mu g/m^3$  in Oviore junction. However, the concentrations of the pollutant CO<sub>2</sub> CO and CH<sub>4</sub> was higher in Petroleum Training Institute junction when compared to the locations. H<sub>2</sub>S and No had higher concentration in Deco junction. However, on seasonal variation the concentration of pollutants were higher in dry season than wet season. Hence, the study showed that the concentration of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, CH<sub>4</sub> and NO measured in the various sites was below the Department of Petroleum Resources limits.

#### **CHAPTER ONE**

#### INTRODUCTION

#### **Background to the study**

The atmosphere is a complex natural gaseous system that is essential to support life on planet earth. Air is the mixture of gases that fills the atmosphere, giving life to the plants and animals that makes the earth such a vibrant place (Woodford, 2010). According to Zimmer, (2013) the atmosphere of the earth is the layer of gases, commonly referred to as 'air' that surrounds the earth and is retained by gravity. It absorbs ultraviolet (UV) solar radiation, warming the surface through heat retention (green house effect), reducing extreme temperature between day and night (diurnal temperature variation).

The constituent of the atmosphere by volume contains dry air which is made up of different types of gases that is; 78.09% of Nitrogen, 20.95% of oxygen, 0.93% Argon, 0.039% Carbon dioxide and minute quantities of other gases. However, air also contains a variable amount of water vapour with an average around 1% of sea level and 0.4% over the entire atmosphere (Woodford, 2010). The three major constituents of air are nitrogen, oxygen and argon which are known as atmospheric gases while other gases such as; carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide and ozone are known as trace gases. The composition of the atmosphere is considered vital for the existence of the ecosystems on the planet earth (Andersen *et al.*, 2011).

Air pollution is a term that applies to any chemical, physical or biological agent that affects the natural characteristics of the atmosphere. According to Anderson, (2005) air pollution is perceived as the presence in the outdoor or indoor atmosphere of one or more gaseous or particulate contaminants in quantities, characteristics and of duration that is injurious to human, plant or animal life or to property, or which unreasonably interferes with the comfortable enjoyment of life and property. Cole and Gray, (2015) asserted that air pollution is a physical, biological or chemical alteration to the air in the atmosphere which occurs when any harmful gases, dust, smoke enters into the atmosphere and makes it difficult for plants, animals and humans to survive. Air pollution poses a major threat to the health of individuals in many West African countries like Nigeria as well as other industrialized nations (WHO, 2014). Since Nigeria started exploration of its oil and gas, and other natural resources in 1970s, it has experienced an escalation in its population growth, urbanization, and industrialization together with great increase in motorization and energy use. The environment today has become contaminated, undesirable and harmful for mans habitation following the pollution of the air.

Air pollutant is a substance in the air that can have adverse effects on humans and the ecosystem. Indoor air pollutants and urban air quality are listed as two of the world's worst toxic pollution problems and around two million people die prematurely from the effects of polluted air every single year (WHO, 2014). The various forms of air pollutants in Nigeria include: aggravated bush burning, combustion, gas flaring, improper disposal of domestic and industrial wastes; pollution through oil spillage; car exhausts, unsanitary and unsafe housing. According to WHO, (2004) the sources of

air pollutantsare; traffic (especially diesel vehicles), industrial sectors (from brick making to oil and gas production), power plants, cooking and heating with solid fuels (e.g. coal, wood, crop waste), forest fires and open burning of municipal waste and agricultural residues. Though, the levels of air pollutants can vary from country to country and from continent to continent (Bingheng and Haidong, 2008).

Causes of air pollution in Nigeria could be linked to high incidence of gas flares, that is, a situation where 53% of the gas produced are being flared (Okebukola, 2001); similarly, massive use of fuel wood for cooking by the people due to the nation's ailing economy, indiscriminate bush burning and other damaging forces have aspirated the problem of air pollution contemporarily. Unsuitable large-scale exploitation of ecosystems for strictly economic ends is resulting in dangerous outcomes in which the environment and agricultural productivity is degraded and the benefit proves illusory (Opera, 2003). The most serious causes of air pollution in Nigeria environment are found in cities in Niger Delta following activities of exploitation and exploration of petroleum, oil and gas. According to Opera, (2008) their surroundings have been adversely polluted.

During recent years, there has been a growing awareness about possible biological effects of deposition of various pollutants in the atmospheric environment (Abdulkareem and Odigure, 2001). The frequent pollution of the environment is one of the most critical ecological crises the world is subjected today. The environment (air, water, land, and soil) was in the past pure, virgin, undisturbed, uncontaminated and basically most hospitable for living organisms but the situation today is reverse. Due to this, "air pollution and population health" has become one of the most

important environmental and public health issues (Bingheng and Haidong, 2008). It is against this backdrop that this study seeks to evaluate the pollution status in some selected sities in effurunand its environ Delta State.

#### **Statement of the problem**

The phenomenon of air pollution involves a sequence of events: the generation of pollutants at and their release from a source; their transport and transformation in and removal from the atmosphere; and their effects on human beings, materials, and ecosystems. Air pollution has been identified as one of the most critical environmental problems confronting the Niger Delta Region. Multiple factors especially lack of equipment, inadequate skilled personnel and poor policy frame work has militated against effective and qualitative air quality studies in the area. There is a clear indication that the effects of air pollution on our natural environment, health and life as a whole cannot be over-emphasized thus affecting the biodiversity and ecological resources which are the main sources of their income and the peoples' mode of survival.

#### **Objective of the study**

The objectives of this study are to;

- i. Determine the concentration of some gaseous pollutant (CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, NO and CH<sub>4</sub>) concentration in wet and dry season in the study area.
- ii. Determine the seasonal variation in the concentration of the pollutants.

#### Significance of the study

The concentration of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, NO and CH<sub>4</sub> in wet and dry seasons with respect to air pollution and their effect on ambient air, human and environment would provide a baseline data on the pollution status of the study area. Consequently, it is hoped that this study would provide a ready guide to policy makers and other stakeholders in the Delta State to make informed decisions in relation to implementing action plans on air pollution geared towards the sustainable development of the region. Finally, the results obtained from this study could be adopted by the decision-makers and stakeholders in curbing the challenges posed by air pollutants.

#### Scope of the study

The study is focused on evaluation of air pollutants such as CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, NO and CH<sub>4</sub> in wet and dry seasons in the study areas (Petroleum Training Institute junction, Deco junction, Airport junction) within a period of 12months.

#### **CHAPTER TWO**

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### **Air Pollution**

Air pollution refers to the presence in the outdoor or indoor atmosphere of one or more gaseous or particulate contaminants in quantities, characteristics and of duration such as; to be injurious to human, plant or animal life or to property, or which unreasonably interferes with the comfortable enjoyment of life and property (Odigure, 1998). According to World Health Organization air pollution as 'the disequilibrium of air caused due to the introduction of foreign elements to the air so that it becomes injurious to biological communities' (WHO, 2004). Admassu and Wubeshet (2006) affirmed that the concept 'Air pollution' may also be seen as any atmospheric condition in which certain substances are present in such concentrations that they can produce undesirable effects on man and his environment.

According to Mudakari, (2010), air pollution is defined as any abnormal increase or decrease in the concentration of the normal component of the atmosphere. On the other hand, it also refers to the discharge of harmful substances into the air to the extent that it can reduce visibility and produce undesirable odor (Aghil, 2011). Air pollution can also be the introduction of chemicals particulate matter, or biological materials that cause harm or discomfort to humans or other living organisms, or cause damage to the natural environment. Ladan (2013) observed that it has been difficult to achieve cooperation for air pollution control in developing countries like Nigeria, whose chief concern is to provide such basic need as food, shelter and employment for her populace.

Kumar and Katoria (2013) argued that air pollution is foreign material present in the air which can be manmade or occur naturally, and are concentrated where people are concentrated. The author noted that this pollution is injurious to health and its prevention places an economic burden on the citizen. Air pollution is one of the most serious environmental problems in societies at all level of economic development. Godish, (2004) noted that air pollution can also affect the properties of materials, visibility and the quality of life in general. Industrial development has been associated with emission to air of large quantities of gaseous and particulate emissions from both industrial production and from burning fossil fuels for energy and transportation.

Anjaneyulu, (2005) opted that air pollution is generally perceived as the presence in the outdoor atmosphere of one or more contaminants such as fumes, dust, gases, mist, odour, smoke, smog or vapours in considerable quantities and duration of which is injurious to human, animal and plant life or which unreasonably interferes with the comfortable enjoyment of life and property. Air pollution is an environmental problem that is directly related to the number of individuals living in an area and the kinds of activities they engaged in. In a place where the population is low and their energy usage is also low, the impact of people in creating pollution is minimal. However where the population is high, the area urbanized and industrialized with high energy usage large quantities of pollutants are released into the environment.

Makinde, (2000) contended that air pollution are harmful solid, liquid or gaseous substances that are present in such concentrations in the environment which tend to be injurious to living organisms. They are also known as substances in the air

that can cause harm to humans and the environment. Pollutants can be in the form of solid particles, liquid droplets, or gases. In addition, they may also be natural or manmade. Builtjes (2003) pointed out that once these chemicals enter the stratosphere, ultraviolet radiation can convert them into highly reactive species that can have a devastating effect on stratospheric ozone. Similarly, anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from combustion processes were considered safe because they are not toxic, but the long-term accumulation of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere may lead to a climate change, which could then be harmful to humans and the ecosystem.

Odilora, et al (2006) asserted that air pollution is a major problem arising mainly from industrialization. It has also been reported that when exposed to air pollutants, most plant experience physiological changes before exhibiting visible damage to leaves (Dohmen, et al 1990). Urban air pollution has a significant impact on the chemistry of the atmosphere and thus potentially on regional and global climate. Already, air pollution is a major issue in an increasing number of megacities around the world, and new policies to address urban air pollution are likely to be enacted in many developing countries irrespective of the participation of these countries in any explicit future climate policies (Prinn 2003).

#### **Sources of Air Pollution**

Today, the environment has become foul, contaminated, undesirable and therefore, harmful for the health of living organisms, including man (WHO, 2000<sup>a</sup>). Basically, air pollution can result from both natural and man-made (anthropogenic) sources. This includes the following;

- 1. Natural Sources: These include volcanic eruption releasing poisonous gases, forest fire, natural organic and inorganic decays or vegetation decay, pollen scattering, deflation of sands and dust, sea salt particles being blown up from the surface of the sea by winds, extraterrestrial bodies, cosmic dust, and comets (Godish, 2004).
- 2. Man made (anthropogenic) sources: The major anthropogenic sources include substances emitted due to the burning of fossil fuels in engines, gasses and particulate matter created in the production process (industrial and agricultural), suspended particulate matter and chemical substances created in the process of waste disposal and even war (Heinsohn and kabel, 1999). Some of these anthropogenic sources includes;
  - a. Increase in human population and activities: increase in population created several serious problems including the worsening of the conditions of the environment. An increase in population leads to the emission of green house gases and global warming. This in turn cause rise in sea level; and prospects of reduced food production. An increase in population also contributes to loss of forest and loss in wildlife species (Colbeck and Nasir, 2010)
  - b. **Industrial and human development activities**: gaseous air pollutants from the sources include nitric acid, gaseous nitric acid, PAN, gaseous fluorine, and hydrogen chloride. Consequently, other offensive odorous substances like ammonia, hydrogen sulphide, methyl sulphide, trimethylamine, dimethyl sulphide, aldehyde, and styrene are also considered to be gaseous air pollutants.

- In addition to suspended particulate matter, dust fall is also considered to be particulate air pollutant (Colbeck and Nasir, 2010)
- c. **Burning:** The conventional sources of energy are wood, coal and fossil fuel. A large percentage of the energy we use in our homes and factories is generated from these sources (Colbeck and Nasir, 2010)
- d. **Deforestation:** indiscriminate cutting of plants, trees and clearing of the jungles and forests i.e. deforestation by man for his own needs has disturbed the balance of carbon dioxide and oxygen in nature (WHO, 2000)
- e. **Automobile exhausts:** are responsible for a high percentage of total air pollution. Automobiles release huge amount of poisonous gases such as carbon monoxide, leaded gas and particulate lead as a result of incomplete combustion of petrol and diesel which react in the presence of other gases to form smog in the atmosphere which are toxic to nature. Examples of air pollutants include sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) suspended particulate matter, Carbon Monoxide (CO), photochemical oxidants (OX), Non-Methane Hydrocarbon Species (NMHC); WHO, (2007).

#### **Classifications of Air Pollutants**

Pollutants can be in the form of solid particles, liquid droplets, or gases. In addition, they may be natural or man-made (Anderson, 2005). Pollutants can be classified as primary or secondary. According to Olobaniyi and Efe (2007) usually, primary pollutants are directly emitted from a process, such as ash from a volcanic eruption, the carbon monoxide gas from a motor vehicle exhaust or sulfur dioxide released from factories. Secondary pollutants are not emitted directly. Rather, they

form in the air when primary pollutants react or interact. An important example of a secondary pollutant is ground level ozone-one of the many secondary pollutants that make up photochemical smog. Some pollutants may be both primary and secondary: that is, they are both emitted directly and formed from other primary pollutants.

Akpoborie *et al.* (2000) and Daly *et al.* (2007) classified air pollutants into four distinct categories. These are criteria, toxic, radioactive and indoor pollutants. These are discussed below;

- 1. Criteria Pollutants: There are basically six (6) principal, or "criteria" pollutants regulated by the US-EPA and most countries in the world:
  - a. Total suspended particulate matter (TSP): with additional subcategories of particles smaller than 10μm in diameter (PM10), and particles smaller than 2.5μm in diameter (PM2.5); PM can exist in solid or liquid form, and includes smoke, dust, aerosols, metallic oxides, and pollen. Sources of PM include combustion, factories, construction, demolition, agricultural activities, motor vehicles, and wood burning. Inhalation of enough PM over time increases the risk of chronic respiratory disease (Akpoborie *et al.* 2000).
  - b. **Sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>):** This compound is colorless, but has a suffocating, pungent odor. The primary source of SO<sub>2</sub> is the combustion of sulfurcontaining fuels (e.g., oil and coal). Exposure to SO<sub>2</sub> can cause the irritation of lung tissues and can damage health and materials (Daly *et al.* 2007)
  - c. Nitrogen oxides (NO and NO<sub>2</sub>): NO<sub>2</sub> is a reddish-brown gas with a sharp odour. The primary source of this gas is vehicle traffic, and it plays a role in the formation of tropospheric ozone. Large concentrations can reduce

- visibility and increase the risk of acute and chronic respiratory disease (Daly *et al.* 2007)
- d. Carbon monoxide (CO): This odorless, colorless gas is formed from the incomplete combustion of fuels. Thus, the largest source of CO today is motor vehicles. Inhalation of CO reduces the amount of oxygen in the bloodstream, and high concentrations can lead to headaches, dizziness, unconsciousness, and death(Daly *et al.* 2007)
- e. **Ozone** (O<sub>3</sub>): Tropospheric ("low-level") ozone is a secondary pollutant formed when sunlight causes photochemical reactions involving NOX and VOCs. Automobiles are the largest source of VOCs necessary for these reactions. Ozone concentrations tend to peak in the afternoon, and can cause eye irritation, aggravation of respiratory diseases, and damage to plants and animals(Daly *et al.* 2007)
- f. Lead (Pb): The largest source of Pb in the atmosphere has been from leaded gasoline combustion, but with the gradual elimination worldwide of lead in gasoline, air Pb levels have decreased considerably. Other airborne sources include combustion of solid waste, coal, and oils, emissions from iron and steel production and lead smelters, and tobacco smoke. Exposure to Pb can affect the blood, kidneys, and nervous, immune, cardiovascular, and reproductive systems (Akpoborie *et al.* 2000).
- 2. Toxic Pollutants: Hazardous air pollutants (HAPS) also called toxic air pollutants or air toxics are those pollutants that cause or may cause cancer or other serious health effects, such as reproductive effects or birth defects. Examples of toxic air

pollutants include benzene, which is found in gasoline; per chlorethlyene, which is emitted from some dry cleaning facilities; and methylene chloride, which is used as a solvent and paint stripper by a number of industries (Fuggle, 2004).

- 3. Radioactive Pollutants: Radioactivity is an air pollutant that is both geogenic and anthropogenic. Geogenic radioactivity results from the presence of radio nuclides, which originate either from radioactive minerals in the earth's crust or from the interaction of cosmic radiation with atmospheric gases. Anthropogenic radioactive emissions originate from nuclear reactors, the atomic energy industry (mining and processing of reactor fuel), nuclear weapon explosions, and plants that reprocess spent reactor fuel. Since coal contains small quantities of uranium and thorium, these radioactive elements can be emitted into the atmosphere from coal-fired power plants and other sources (Magbagbeola, 2002).
- 4. Indoor Pollutants: When a building is not properly ventilated, pollutants can accumulate and reach concentrations greater than those typically found outside. This problem has received media attention as "Sick Building Syndrome". Environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) is one of the main contributors to indoor pollution, as are CO, NO, and SO<sub>2</sub>, which can be emitted from furnaces and stoves. Cleaning or remodeling a house is an activity that can contribute to elevated concentrations of harmful chemicals such as VOCs emitted from household cleaners, paint, and varnishes. Also, when bacteria die, they release endotoxins into the air, which can cause adverse health effects. So ventilation is important when cooking, cleaning, and disinfecting in a building. A geogenic source of indoor air pollution is radon (Akpoborie *et al.* 2000).

#### **Effect of Air Pollution**

Pollution of the environment is one of the most horrible ecological crises the world is subjected today. Different effects of air pollution on man and his environment has been discussed; air pollution affects our health, causing one health problem or the other. It also affects vegetation and impairs visibility into the distance. Air pollution is a serious issue because when the air we breathe carries pollutants, it can affect us in many ways. A variety of diseases have been linked to exposure to air pollution including asthma, lung cancer and heart disease. Although everyone can be affected by this type of pollution, young children and elderly people are at an especially high risk. Air pollution can also damage materials, agriculture, and is a component of climate change (Encyclopaedia of Earth, 2015). The effects of air pollution are as follows:

#### 1. Effects of Air Pollution on weather, climate and atmospheric processes

In general, air pollution is responsible for two (2) main global problems; contamination of the upper atmosphere and alteration of weather and climate. Some of the specific effects of pollution on the atmosphere are highlighted below:

- a. Pollution affect local weather condition, as in the well the creation of a phenomenon known as "Heat Island" around cities. This is caused when heat emissions from many anthropogenic sources add to the warming of the built environment. This warming effect that results from this phenomenon could affect, significantly, the comfort and the live ability of the urban people (Samuels, 2004).
- **b.** Many gaseous pollutants and fine aerosols reach the upper atmosphere, where they have on the penetration and absorption of sunlight. According to modern

environmentalists, increasing particulate matter pollution may reduce the amount of sunlight reaching the surface of the earth thereby lowering solar radiation energy at the earth's surface (Makinde, 2000).

c. The distribution and abundance of particulate matters is responsible for local rainfall patterns and hence there is a significance increase in precipitation in and around cities, and is due to air pollution. Air pollution causes weather to change on a continental or global basis (Makinde, 2000).

#### 2. Effect of Air Pollution on humans health

Air pollution is a significant risk factor for a number of health conditions including respiratory infections, heart disease, COPD, stroke and lung cancer (WHO 2014).

a. Respiratory system and diseases: The first target organs attacked by air pollutants are respiratory system. Considering the respiratory system of humans, from the nasal cavity to near the bronchi, which constitute the passage of air, mucus covers the mucous epithelium. The airway of trachea and the bronchi are provided with cilia to eliminate foreign substances. Also, there are alveolar macrophages of phagocyte in the alveolar of the lungs exchanging carbonic dioxide for oxygen. Also, carbon monoxide, when coming into contact with haemoglobin contained in the blood in alveolar, disturbs transportation of oxygen by the blood because the substance combines with haemoglobin more easily than oxygen. In the case where the air severely polluted, aged persons and patients with certain chronic base disease in particular are in danger of excess death by suffering from acute bronchitis. In many cases, however, air pollution causes chronic respiratory diseases

- especially asthma, chronic bronchitis and lung emphysema (Heinsohn and Kabel, 1999)
- b. Senses (sense of smell): Humans use their five senses of sight, hearing, smell, touch and taste as a mean of acquiring information from the outside world. The sense of smell works to identify the nature of odours and, along with taste, may be called a chemical sense. Smell possesses functions that only respond to a limited number of chemical substances (those substances with odours). Humans mainly rely on their senses of sight and hearing to live, although sight and hearing are well developed, smell is, by comparison, a somewhat primitive sense. Odours such as that of rotting food and of burned substances aim to provide advance warnings of impending danger. Airborne odours enter the nasal cavity along with inhaled air, and arrive at olfactory membrane in the roof of the cavity by passing along the nasal airway, where they dissolve into the mucous olfactory membrane. The olfactory membrane contains olfactory glands (Bowman's glad) centered on olfactory cells, which are sense receptor cells. The olfactory glands hairs extend from the length of the olfactory cells through the mucous, and the tip of the cells (the olfactory smell vesicle) also protrudes into the mucous membrane (Ibn, 2012).
- c. Mortality: It is estimated that some 7 million premature deaths may be attributed to air pollution (WHO, 2014). India has the highest death rate due to air pollution (The New York Time, 2014). India also has more deaths from asthma than any other nation according to the World Health Organization. In December 2013 air pollution was estimated to kill 500,000 people in China

each year. There is a correlation between pneumonia-related deaths and air pollution from motor vehicles (The Guardian; London, 2008). Air pollution is estimated to reduce life expectancy by almost nine months across the European Union (British Broadcasting Corporation: BBC, 2005). Causes of deaths include strokes, heart disease, COPD, lung cancer, and lung infections (WHO, 2014). The US EPA estimates that a proposed set of changes in diesel engine technology could result in 12,000 fewer premature mortalities, 15,000 fewer heart attacks, 6,000 fewer emergency room visits by children with asthma, and 8,900 fewer respiratory-related hospital admissions each year in the United States (US-EPA, 2004).

- d. Cardiovascular Disease: A 2007 review of evidence found ambient air pollution exposure is a risk factor correlating with increased total mortality from cardiovascular events (range: 12% to 14% per 10 microg/m³ increase) (Chen, Goldberg and Villeneuve, 2008). Air pollution is also emerging as a risk factor for stroke, particularly in developing countries where pollutant levels are highest (Mateen and Brook, 2011). Air pollution was also found to be associated with increased incidence and mortality from coronary stroke in a cohort study in 2011 (Andersen *et al.*, 2011). Associations are believed to be causal and effects may be mediated by vasoconstriction, low-grade inflammation and atherosclerosis. Other mechanisms such as autonomic nervous system imbalances have also been suggested (Brook *et al.*, 2010).
- e. Cancer: A review of evidence regarding whether ambient air pollution exposure is a risk factor for cancer in 2007 found solid data to conclude that

long-term exposure to PM2.5 (fine particulates) increases the overall risk of non-accidental mortality by 6% per a 10 micro g/m³ increase. Exposure to PM2.5 was also associated with an increased risk of mortality from lung cancer and total cardiovascular mortality (Bhatia, 2006). The review further noted that living close to busy traffic appears to be associated with elevated risks of these three outcomes - increase in lung cancer deaths, cardiovascular deaths, and overall non-accidental deaths. The reviewers also found suggestive evidence that exposure to PM2.5 is positively associated with mortality from coronary heart diseases and exposure to SO<sub>2</sub> increases mortality from lung cancer, but the data was insufficient to provide solid conclusions (Chen, Goldberg and Villeneuve, 2008).

#### 3. Effects of Air Pollution on the Environment

- **a. Vegetation:** In terms of the damage to plants caused by air pollution, forests could be damaged and agricultural area recording poor growth and yield. This could be caused by the sulphur dioxide (SO2) and hydrogen fluoride (HF) from stationary sources. Plant damage could also result from mobile sources including automobiles (Chen, Goldberg and Villeneuve, 2008)
- b. Wildlife- Toxic pollutants in the air, or deposited on soils or surface waters, can impact wildlife in a number of ways. Like humans, animals can experience health problems if they are exposed to sufficient concentrations of air toxics over time. Studies by Odilara *et al.*, (2006) show that air toxics are contributing to birth defects, reproductive failure, and disease in animals. Persistent toxic air pollutants (those that break down slowly in the environment) are of particular

concern in aquatic ecosystems. These pollutants accumulate in sediments and may bio magnify in tissues of animals at the top of the food chain to concentrations many times higher than in the water or air (Chen, Goldberg and Villeneuve, 2008).

- c. Crop and forest damage: Air pollution can damage crops and trees in a variety of ways. Ground-level ozone can lead to reductions in agricultural crop and commercial forest yields, reduced growth and survivability of tree seedlings, and increased plant susceptibility to disease, pests and other environmental stresses (such as harsh weather). As described above, crop and forest damage can also result from acid rain and from increased UV radiation caused by ozone depletion (Bhatia, 2006)
- d. Ozone depletion: Ozone is a gas that occurs both at ground -level and in the Earth's upper atmosphere, known as the stratosphere. At ground level, ozone is a pollutant that can harm human health. In the stratosphere, however, ozone forms a layer that protects life on earth from the sun's harmful ultraviolet (UV) rays. But this "good" ozone is gradually being destroyed by man-made chemicals referred ozone-depleting substances, including to as chlorofluorocarbons, hydrochloro-fluorocarbons, and halons. These substances were formerly used and sometimes still are used in coolants, foaming agents, fire extinguishers, solvents, pesticides, and aerosol propellants. Thinning of the protective ozone layer can cause increased amounts of UV radiation to reach the Earth, which can lead to more cases of skin cancer, cataracts, and impaired

immune systems. UV can also damage sensitive crops, such as soybeans, and reduce crop yields (Rao, 2006).

e. Visibility degradation: The light from the sum deteriorates its being absorbed and scattered due to aerosols; absorption by air pollutants and water vapour; scattering by airborne particles, among others. The main cause of visibility degradation due to air pollution are aerosol and gasses in the atmosphere, but the visibility conditions can differ greatly due to atmospheric condition such as humidity; the optical characteristics of the target; and the strength and distribution of the light at the time in question. When air pollution is severe, the atmosphere appears to be coloured (Sodhi, 2005).

#### Preventive Measures/ Sustainable solutions to air Pollution Problems

The control measures for air pollution in the urban centers of Nigeria have not substantially reduced air pollution. It was particularly noted that most commuters and urban dwellers are constantly exposed to the hazards of air pollution on daily basis (Efe, 2008). It is based on this that the study puts forward preventive measures/sustainable solutions as listed below:

- a. Vehicle inspection is an important preventive measure that will ensure drivers not only service their cars periodically but also old vehicles that emit to much smoke are taken off the roads and only vehicles in good condition ply the roads (Okoro, 2012).
- b. Ensuring sufficient supply of oxygen to the combustion chamber and adequate temperature so that the combustion is complete thereby eliminating much of the smoke consisting of partly burnt ashes and dust.

- c. To use mechanical devices such as scrubbers, cyclones, bag houses and electrostatic precipitators in manufacturing processes. The equipment used to remove particulates from the exhaust gases of electric power and industrial plants are shown below. All methods retain hazardous materials that must be disposed safely. Wet scrubber can additionally reduce sulphur dioxide emissions (Okoro, 2012).
- d. The air pollutants collected must be carefully disposed. The factory fumes are dealt with chemical treatment (Khan, 2005).
- e. Improvement in electric power supply will drastically reduce the use of gasoline generators that are found at home, business premises, offices and industries. Nigeria has numerous sources of generating energy from renewable sources that could effectively harness to supply regular electricity to the people thereby reducing the use of gasoline generators (Khan, 2005).
- f. The use of fuel wood can be reduced by providing readily available alternative means of cooking and heating both for homes and small scale industrial use. Biogas is an alternative energy source that can be promoted and subsidized to the people to reduce the use of fuel wood that is a source of indoor and outdoor air pollution (Yan-Ju and Hui 2008).
- g. Effective refuse collection in the urban centers will ensure that waste materials do not accumulate in the locality to be burnt or incinerated. The regular waste collection and disposal will also ensure that there is no time for the waste to decompose and generate bad odour which pollutes the air (Khan, 2005).

- h. Manufacturing industries operating in the urban centers should be compelled to adhere strictly to the various pollution control legislations that are enacted in the country. In line with this NESREA should ensure that the industries fully comply with the pollution control regulations.
- i. Enforcement of air pollution legislations across the country will ensure that people, organizations and groups that carryout activities that are sources of air pollution are reduced. It is important to enforce pollution control legislations as the laws are there for many years but not fully enforced (Sodhi, 2005).
- j. There is the need to continuously enlighten and educate the public about the causes and effects of air pollution so that they realize the dangers and health hazards of living in polluted environment. Environmental organizations in Nigeria need to form themselves into pressure groups to not only raise awareness about environmental issues but also pressurize the government to take action against those who pollute the environment. The ministries of environment and the states environmental protection agencies carry out various programmes like Radio and television discussion programmes, production of posters, pamphlets and leaflets to educate the people about air pollution, its effects and need for control for healthy living. This has indeed called for continuous environmental education as it provide the public with information on the causes of pollution, the effects of pollution and what they can do to prevent or mitigate the effects of pollution (Ladan, and Ajao, 2005).

#### **Review of Empirical Literature**

For several decades now; studies have been conducted to estimate the effects of air pollution on the changes in climate and the increase in the incidence of respiratory diseases. In a study conducted by Aaron, (2006), it was discovered that about 1.1 billion people are exposed to unhealthy air and 4.6 million lives are lost annually. One of the worst cases of air pollution was the London smog that occurred in1952. It started on 4th December, 1952 and lasted until March of 1953. It was a major disaster that killed thousands of people and caused huge environmental problems. In December of1952; a cold fog descended on London and because the cold was so severe, people burned more coal than usual.

Consequently, in Nigeria, studies have indicated the prevalence of air pollution in most urban cities especially the Niger Delta Area of the Uyo metropolis of Akwa Ibom State. A study by (Ana et al, 2009) argues that the people of this region depend on their natural resources for their livelihood- agriculture, fishing, forestry and so pollution in these areas poses environmental and health risks. The area is situated in the Gulf of Guinea and is composed of four ecological zones- coastal islands, mangrove swamps, freshwater swamps and lowland forests. These different biomes make this region a well-endowed ecosystem and so contain high concentration of biodiversity; therefore continuous pollutions of these regions is crippling economically, ecologically, environmentally and heath-wise. The air quality present in these regions and in many industrialized nations today is consistent with emissions from industries, vehicles, gas flares, pipeline explosions, burning of bushes and refuses.

Other studies has also shown the impacts of air pollution on Ascorbic acid content (Hoque, et al 2007) chlorophyll content (Flowers et al2007), leaf extract pH (Klumpp et al 2000) andrelative water content (Rao 1979). These separate parameters gave conflicting results for same species (Han, et al. 1995). However, the air pollution tolerance index (APTI) based on all four parameters has been used for identifying tolerance levels of plant species (Yan-Ju and Ding, 2007; Singh et al., 1991); More so, several contributors agrees that air pollutants affect plant growth adversely (Rao, 2006; Bhatia, 2006; Sodhi, 2007).

Nevertheless, air pollution has been associated with a ton of health effects, respiratory, pulmonary and cardiovascular problems, allergy and death. There has been a correlation between inhaling particulate matter and increase in cases of cystic fibrosis and the effects of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disorders (COPD) and other respiratory problems such as dypsena, phlegm, chronic bronchitis, emphysema and asthma. These pollutants have also been linked with endothelial dysfunction and vasoconstriction, increased blood pressure (BP), prothrombotic and coagulant changes, systemic inflammatory and oxidative stress responses, autonomic imbalance and arrhythmias, and the progression of atherosclerosis. When children inhale these dangerous substances; it penetrates deep into the lungs and becomes trapped in their bronchioles and alveoli and eventually dissolves in the bloodstream (Singh and Rao, 1993; Horsefall, 1998).

#### **CHAPTER THREE**

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Description of Study Area**

Four (4) commercial sites comprising of Petroleum Training Institute junction (05°34′38.6N, 005°48′ 00.2E), Deco junction (05°31′36.5N, 005°45′99.2E), Airport junction (05°32′88.0N, 005°46′81.5E) and Oviore junction (05°39′48.2N, 005°55′52.5E) respectively were randomly selected as the geographical locations in Warri, Delta State, Nigeria. The study area covered Petroleum Training Institute junction, Deco junction, Airport junction and Oviore junction located in three different local government areas namely; Warri South, Effurun and Ethiope East respectively. Warri has a population of about 407, 400 (National Population Commission, 2005/2006). It has an annual temperature of 35°c with 25% humidity and a tropical climate having a variation of dry and wet seasons. The major activities among the people of Warri that generate particulate pollution are usually; commercial activities by allied industries which generate particulate pollutants, combustion of solid waste, gas flaring etc especially in the selected locations.



Figure 1: Sample Site in Delta State Nigeria

#### **Materials**

Sampling of analyte is by the use of aeroqual gas detector kit model -ASTM D3249-95 (2011)

#### **Sampling and Sample Collection**

This study covered a period of 12 calendar months specifically the dry season (October – March) in 2014 and wet season (April – September) in 2015 respectively. Three of the commercial sites comprising of Petroleum Training Institute junction, Deco junction and Airport junctions were considered as the experimental stations owing to frequent industrial activities in the region against Oviore junction station which served as the control station with lesser activities. The sampling was carried out on weekly intervals between the hours of 7 - 10am (morning), 12 – 3pm (afternoon) and 4 - 7pm (evening) on daily basis for the selected commercial sites as represented in the Table 3.1.

**Table 3.1: Sample Collection** 

S/N	Sample Stations	Seasons	Time	Date
1	Oviore Junction(Control)	Dry	7:00am – 10:00am 12:00pm – 3:00pm 4:00pm – 7:00pm	1 <sup>st</sup> – 7 <sup>th</sup> November, 2014
		Wet	7:00am - 10:00am 12:00pm - 3:00pm 4:00pm - 7:00pm	22 <sup>nd</sup> – 28 <sup>th</sup> May, 2015
2	Deco junction	Dry	7:00am – 10:00am 12:00pm – 3:00pm 4:00pm – 7:00pm	1 <sup>st</sup> – 7 <sup>Th</sup> October, 2014
		Wet	7:00am – 10:00am 12:00pm – 3:00pm 4:00pm – 7:00pm	14 <sup>th</sup> – 21 <sup>Tst</sup> May, 2015
3	Airport Junction	Dry	7:00am - 10:00am 12:00pm - 3:00pm 4:00pm - 7:00pm	1 <sup>st</sup> – 7 <sup>th</sup> December, 2014
		Wet	7:00am – 10:00am 12:00pm – 3:00pm 4:00pm – 7:00pm	1 <sup>st</sup> – 7 <sup>th</sup> June, 2015
4	Petroleum Training Institute Junction	Dry	7:00am – 10:00am 12:00pm – 3:00pm 4:00pm – 7:00pm	8 <sup>th</sup> – 15 <sup>Th</sup> December, 2014
		Wet	7:00am – 10:00am 12:00pm – 3:00pm 4:00pm – 7:00pm	8 <sup>th</sup> – 15 <sup>Th</sup> June, 2015

### **Determination of Air Quality Parameter**

The ambient air quality was determined with the aid of aeroqual gas monitoring kit in each study site. It operates by gas diffusion through an air filter into the sensors which is graduated into the sensors just directly under the air filter. The concentration of air parameter is then displayed on the output meter. The instrument is calibrated by the manufacturer and was moved from one site to the other between the hours of 7am and 7pm daily.

### Methods

Standard procedures were adopted in estimating the amount of pollutants in the ambient air analysis. The desired gas sensor (probe) was fixed for the gas to be analysed. Thereafter, the power button was pressed and the instrument was allowed to initialize for three (3) minutes, while, the readings were taken in duplicates at the expiration of three (3) minutes. Meanwhile, the instrument was put off and the process was repeated for another gas to be monitored.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Data obtained from the field survey was analysed using descriptive statistic expressed in Mean±SD while the difference across the groups was statistically analyzed using one way ANOVA of variance and t-test between pollutants using SPSS version 20.

### **CHAPTER FOUR**

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 4.1 showed the average mean concentration of pollutants (C0, C0<sub>2</sub> H<sub>2</sub>S, N0 and CH<sub>4</sub>) obtained across the sample sites (Airport junction, Petroleum Training Institute junction, Oviore junction and Deco junction) during dry season. Results showed that pollutants were more in Petroleum Training Institute junction when compared to other sites.

**Table 4.1:** Results of C0, C0<sub>2</sub> H<sub>2</sub>S, N0 and CH<sub>4</sub> for Dry Season

Pollutant	Time	Airport junction	Petroleum Training Institute junction	Oviore junction	Deco junction	Department of Petroleum Rsource standard limit $(\mu g/m^3)$
CO	8 - 9	7.69	8.12	4.04	7.11	12,500 -25,000
	12 - 3	7.84	8.13	4.08	7.05	
	5 - 7	7.58	8.11	4.13	7.07	
$CO_2$	8 - 9	13.55	12.51	7.98	14.15	117.86
	12 - 3	14.22	12.68	8.03	14.3	
	5 - 7	13.72	12.45	7.94	12.68	
$H_2S$	8 - 9	0.10	0.13	0.09	0.17	N/A
_	12 - 3	0.1	0.13	0.07	0.18	
	5 - 7	0.11	0.13	0.05	0.39	
<b>NO</b>	8 - 9	0.10	0.07	0.04	0.14	53.57 - 80.35
	12 - 3	0.10	0.07	0.05	0.14	
	5 - 7	0.09	0.08	0.04	0.14	
$CH_4$	8 - 9	4.18	4.47	0.41	4.81	114.29
	12 - 3	4.18	4.45	0.44	4.94	
	5 - 7	4.04	4.46	0.37	4.78	
Mean		5.17	5.07	2.52	5.20	

Table 4.2 showed the average mean concentration of pollutants (C0, C0<sub>2</sub> H<sub>2</sub>S, N0 and CH<sub>4</sub>) obtained across the sample sites (Airport junction, Petroleum Training Institute junction, Oviore junction and Deco junction) during wet season. Results showed that pollutants were more in Petroleum Training Institute junction when compared to other sites

**Table 4.2:** Results of C0, C0<sub>2</sub> H<sub>2</sub>S, N0 and CH<sub>4</sub> for Wet Season

Pollutant	Time	Airport junction	Petroleum Training Institute junction	Oviore junction	Deco junction	Department of Petroleum Resource standard limit $(\mu g/m^3)$
$\overline{CO}$	8 - 9	6.35	7.94	4.24	5.95	12,500 -25,000
CO	12 - 3	6.41	7.92	4.20	5.88	12,300 23,000
	5 - 7	6.24	7.90	4.23	6.17	
$CO_2$	8 - 9	11.94	14.47	7.30	13.72	117.86
	12 - 3	12.12	14.5	6.97	13.62	
	5 – 7	22.1	14.17	6.9	13.78	
$H_2S$	8 - 9	0.11	0.13	0.02	0.15	N/A
2	12 - 3	0.12	0.03	0.03	0.15	
	5 - 7	0.11	0.03	0.03	0.14	
NO	8 - 9	0.17	0.04	0.03	0.14	53.57 - 80.35
	12 - 3	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.14	
	5 - 7	0.10	0.04	0.03	0.15	
$CH_4$	8 - 9	4.06	4.21	0.53	3.68	114.29
-	12 - 3	4.04	4.13	0.44	3.67	
	5 - 7	4.04	4.13	0.44	3.67	
Mean		5.19	5.31	2.36	4.73	

Table 4.3 showed significant difference in  $H_2S$  on day 5 in dry season  $(0.0333\pm0.012)$  when compared with wet season  $(0.0300\pm0.01000)$  at NO on day 3 in dry season  $(0.0400\pm0.0058)$ ; day 5  $(0.0567\pm0.0033)$  when compared to wet season of  $(0.0333\pm0.0120)$  and  $(0.0567\pm0.0033)$  respectively at P<0.05 level of significance. Meanwhile, there was a significant difference in the mean concentration of  $CH_4$  during dry seasons for day 2  $(0.5333\pm0.06667)$ , day 3  $(0.2333\pm0.3335)$ , day 4

 $(0.5333\pm0.1333)$ , day 5  $(0.5333\pm0.21858)$ , day 6  $(0.4000\pm0.05774)$  when compared to wet season  $(0.7667\pm0.8819)$ ;  $(0.4667\pm0.333)$ ;  $0.5333\pm0.27285$ ;  $0.7333\pm0.12079$  and  $0.5667\pm1201$  respectively at P-value <0.05. By implication, this signifies 95% difference in  $H_2S$ ,  $CH_4$  and NO, their mean value across the season at Oviore junction.

**Table 4.3:** Statistical Mean and Standard Deviation Score of Dry and wet season in Oviore Junction

Days	Dry CO	Wet CO
1	4.566±0.033	4.200±0.000
2	4.200±0.057	4.333±0.088
3	4.366±0.120	4.233±0.033
4	4.166±0.133	4.567±0.033
5	4.233±0.133	4.200±0.152
6	3.766±0.088	4.233±0.120
7	3.300±0.057	3.767±0.176
	Dry CO <sub>2</sub>	Wet CO <sub>2</sub>
1	7.623±0.176	7.033±0.033
2	8.500±0.152	7.033±0.088
3	8.167±0.133	6.800±0.115
4	7.733±0.176	6.833±0.120
5	8.033±0.088	6.300±0.200
6	7.700±0.200	7.933±0.145
7	8.133±0.067	7.467±0.466
	Dry H <sub>2</sub> S	Wet H <sub>2</sub> S
1	$0.096 \pm 0.052$	0.020±0.005
2	0.236±0.116	0.036±0.003
3	$0.050\pm0.005$	0.013±0.003
4	0.063±0.012	0.016±0.006
5	0.033±0.012	0.030±0.010
6	0.023±0.013	0.023±0.006
7	0.016±0.006	0.016±0.006
	Dry NO	Wet NO
1	0.057±0.003	0.037±0.015
2	0.033±0.012	0.060±0.006
3	$0.040\pm0.005$	0.033±0.012
4	$0.030 \pm 0.015$	0.030±0.010
5	$0.057 \pm 0.003$	$0.030\pm0.000$
6	$0.073 \pm 0.015$	0.030±0.010
7	0.023±0.006	0.040±0.015
	Dry CH <sub>4</sub>	Wet CH <sub>4</sub>
1	0.133±0.033	0.067±0.024
2	0.533±0.067	0.767±0.088
3	0.233±0.133	0.467±0.033
4	0.533±0.273	0.267±0.167
5	0.533±0.218	0.733±0.120
6	$0.400 \pm 0.057$	0.567±0.120
7	0.500±0.173	0.367±0.088

Values above are presented in Mean  $\pm$ STD and the level of significance for P-value is less than 0.05

Table 4.4 showed the statistical mean and standard deviation obtained from Deco junction for the pollutants (CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, CH<sub>4</sub>, and NO) in dry and wet seasons. Season average of CO in dry season showed significant difference on day 5 (13.3167±2.0480) and day 6 (15.9667±0.333) when compared to that of CO in wet season (13.2667±0.17638) and (13.6000±0.05774) at P-value less than 0.05 level of significance. However, when compared to other days, there was a statistically significant increase in CO concentration in the region. Meanwhile, CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S showed no significant difference. The results for NO showed significant difference for dry season on day 5 (0.1533±0.0033) when compared (4.9333±0.6667) at p<value 0.016. Meanwhile, the concentration of CH<sub>4</sub> of the dry season was significant on day  $2(3.4333\pm0.333)$ , day  $3(3.333\pm0.333)$ ; day  $4(3.7667\pm0.333)$ , day  $5(3.8000\pm0.5774)$ and day 6  $(4.0000\pm0.00000)$  when compared to wet season  $(4.9300\pm0.6506)$ ;  $(4.4000\pm0.5774)$ ;  $(4.5667\pm0.18559)$ ;  $(4.9333\pm0.6667)$  and  $(5.1000\pm0.5774)$  at p-value <0.05. This implies that the highest concentration of pollutants of CO and CH<sub>4</sub> were present in dry season in Deco junction.

**Table 4.4:** Statistical Mean and Standard Deviation Score of Dry and wet season in Deco junction

Days	Dry CO	Wet CO
1	13.710±0.195	13.570±0.293
2	13.867±0.033	13.733±0.145
3	13.277±0.129	13.567±0.120
4	13.567±0.233	13.900±0.550
5	13.316±0.205	13.267±0.176
6	15.967±0.033	13.600±0.057
7	15.867±0.440	13.233±0.120
	Dry CO <sub>2</sub>	Wet CO <sub>2</sub>
1	0.173±0.003	0.170±0.010
2	0.637±0.481	0.170±0.015
3	0.187±0.020	0.137±0.008
4	0.237±0.007	0.150±0.015
5	0.173±0.017	0.407±0.247
6	0.200±0.015	0.133±0.003
7	0.133±0.008	0.163±0.018
	Dry H <sub>2</sub> S	Wet H <sub>2</sub> S
1	$0.140\pm0.010$	0.137±0.017
2	0.157±0.008	0.150±0.020
3	0.157±0.023	0.147±0.003
4	0.150±0.026	0.157±0.007
5	0.173±0.023	0.140±0.006
6	0.160±0.010	0.170±0.000
7	0.153±0.008	0.667±0.008
	Dry N0	Wet N0
1	$0.140\pm0.010$	0.117±0.003
2	$0.143 \pm 0.008$	0.133±0.003
3	$0.133 \pm 0.008$	0.147±0.003
4	0.117±0.012	0.157±0.007
5	$0.153\pm0.003$	0.143±0.003
6	$0.170\pm0.000$	0.173±0.003
7	0.147±0.003	0.177±0.003
	Dry CH <sub>4</sub>	Wet CH <sub>4</sub>
1	3.533±0.033	5.057±0.023
2	3.433±0.033	4.930±0.065
3	3.333±0.067	4.400±0.057
4	3.767±0.033	4.567±0.186
5	3.800±0.057	4.933±0.067
6	4.000±0.000	5.100±0.057
7	3.933±0.088	4.933±0.066

Values above are presented in Mean  $\pm$ STD and the level of significance for P-value is less than 0.05

Table 4.5 showed the average mean concentration for pollutants (CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, NO and CH<sub>4</sub>) for Airport junction for both dry and wet seasons. It revealed that there was a significant difference of CO in dry season of day 5 (13.3167 $\pm$ 2048) and day 6 (15.9667 $\pm$ 0.333) when compared to CO of wet season (13.2667 $\pm$ 17.63) and (13.6000 $\pm$ 0.05774) respectively at P-value <0.05. No difference was observed on the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S when comparing dry with wet seasons. However, there was a significant difference in the concentration NO in the concentration of dry season of Friday (0.0967 $\pm$ 0.0333) when compared to wet season (0.0400  $\pm$  0.0577). Finally, there was significant difference ranging from day 2,3,4,5 and 6 for CH<sub>4</sub> when comparing dry season (4.2867 $\pm$ 0.00667) with wet season at p-value <0.05 level of significance.

**Table 4.5:** Statistical Mean and Standard Deviation Score of Dry and wet season in Airport Junction

Days	Dry CO	Wet CO
1	13.643±0.261	13.767±0.176
2	13.866±0.033	13.800±0.208
3	9.943±3.373	13.567±0.120
4	13.567±0.233	13.967±0.497
5	13.317±0.205	13.733±0.240
6	15.167±0.783	13.600±0.057
7	15.533±0.167	13.800±0.450
	Dry CO <sub>2</sub>	Wet CO <sub>2</sub>
1	0.170±0.003	13.620±0.295
2	0.630±0.485	13.837±0.343
3	0.200±0.010	13.663±0.286
4	0.233±0.008	13.357±0.221
5	0.200±0.012	13.407±0.247
6	0.197±0.012	13.690±0.297
7	0.137±.007	13.163±0.018
	Dry H <sub>2</sub> S	Wet H <sub>2</sub> S
1	$0.140\pm0.010$	0.137±0.017
2	0.157±0.008	0.150±0.020
3	0.157±0.023	0.147±0.003
4	0.150±0.026	0.157±0.007
5	0.173±0.023	0.140±0.006
6	0.160±0.010	0.170±0.000
7	0.153±0.008	0.167±0.008
	Dry N0	Wet N0
1	0.107±0.003	0.213±0.143
2	$0.107 \pm 0.008$	0.340±0.280
3	$0.097 \pm 0.008$	0.050±0.010
4	$0.070\pm0.012$	$0.033 \pm 0.008$
5	$0.097 \pm 0.003$	$0.040\pm0.006$
6	$0.107 \pm 0.015$	$0.043 \pm 0.008$
7	$0.127 \pm 0.008$	$0.070\pm0.010$
	Dry CH <sub>4</sub>	Wet CH <sub>4</sub>
1	4.230±0.035	4.073±0.031
2	4.287±0.007	4.130±0.000
3	4.233±0.017	4.100±0.006
4	4.297±0.008	4.120±0.006
5	4.313±0.008	4.137±0.007
6	3.657±0.318	3.977±0.063
7	3.940±0.028	3.830±0.020

Values above are presented in Mean  $\pm$ STD and the level of significance for P-value is less than 0.05

Table 4.6 showed the average mean concentration of pollutants (CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, NO and CH<sub>4</sub>) in Petroleum Training Institute junction for both dry and wet seasons. Results obtained revealed that no significant difference was found in CO, CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S when comparing dry and wet seasons. Meanwhile, there was a statistical significant difference in the concentration of NO at day 5 (0.900 $\pm$ 0.00000) when compared with wet season (0.0300 $\pm$ 0.0000) at P<0.05; Whereas, the concentration of CH<sub>4</sub> was significant in day 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 when compared with wet season at P<0.05 level of significance.

**Table 4.6:** Statistical Mean and Standard Deviation Score of Dry and wet season in Petroleum Training Institute Junction

Days	Dry CO	Wet CO
1	12.103±0.098	13.867±0.033
2	12.477±0.175	13.733±0.120
3	12.377±0.261	14.400±0.200
4	12.283±0.072	14.467±0.384
5	12.463±0.220	14.700±0.152
6	12.673±0.278	14.733±0.033
7	12.577±0.223	14.533±0.167
	Dry CO <sub>2</sub>	Wet CO <sub>2</sub>
1	$0.147 \pm 0.008$	0.033±0.003
2	$0.123 \pm 0.008$	$0.023 \pm 0.007$
3	$0.113\pm0.013$	$0.037 \pm 0.007$
4	$0.116 \pm 0.007$	$0.020 \pm 0.006$
5	$0.137 \pm 0.008$	$0.037 \pm 0.017$
6	$0.137 \pm 0.003$	$0.020 \pm 0.006$
7	$0.180 \pm 0.006$	$0.037 \pm 0.003$
	Dry H <sub>2</sub> S	Wet H <sub>2</sub> S
1	$0.110\pm0.020$	$0.047 \pm 0.003$
2	$0.087 \pm 0.027$	$0.083 \pm 0.007$
3	$0.083 \pm 0.018$	0.053±0.033
4	$0.100 \pm 0.006$	0.057±0.012
5	$0.100 \pm 0.010$	$0.037 \pm 0.007$
6	$0.097 \pm 0.047$	0.197±0.151
7	$0.110\pm0.026$	$0.043 \pm 0.028$
	Dry N0	Wet N0
1	$0.083 \pm 0.007$	$0.037 \pm 0.013$
2	$0.067 \pm 0.007$	$0.087 \pm 0.003$
3	$0.057 \pm 0.008$	$0.023 \pm 0.007$
4	$0.090 \pm .006$	$0.047 \pm 0.003$
5	$0.090\pm0.000$	$0.030\pm0.000$
6	$0.073 \pm 0.031$	$0.047 \pm 0.003$
7	$0.087 \pm 0.008$	$0.020 \pm 0.006$
	Dry CH <sub>4</sub>	Wet CH <sub>4</sub>
1	4.603±0.015	4.120±0.109
2	4.583±0.017	4.103±0.007
3	4.677±0.023	4.147±0.026
4	4.530±0.017	4.173±0.023
5	4.540±0.083	4.233±0.028
6	4.187±0.012	4.287±0.024
7	4.137±0.007	4.187±0.007

Values above are presented in Mean  $\pm STD$  and the level of significance for P-value is less than 0.05

The comparison of the statistical different between the experiment sites and control site was also considered in the analysis.

Table 4.7 showed the result of the comparative statistical difference between gas emission in Deco junction and that of Oviore junction. The result from these analysis showed that there was significant difference between the emission of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, NO and CH<sub>4</sub> in Deco junction and Oviore junction. (t=18.165, P<0.05), (t=20.241, P<0.05), (t=4.135, P<0.05), (t=24.007, P<0.05) and (f=34.911, P<0.05) respectively. Judging with t-statistics result to determine the level of significant difference, the variation in CH<sub>4</sub>, NO and CO<sub>2</sub> was more than that of CO and H<sub>2</sub>S between the two junctions.

**Table 4.7:** T-test comparing the statistical difference between Deco junction and Oviore junction in their level of gas emission

			Paired Differences					
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	T	p-value (2- tailed)		
Pair 1	DC0 - OC0	2.4	.85	.13	18.17	.000		
Pair 2	$DC0_2 - OC0_2$	6.2	2.0	.31	20.24	.000		
Pair 3	DH <sub>2</sub> S - OH <sub>2</sub> S	.15	.24	.04	4.135	.000		
Pair 4	<b>DN0 - ON0</b>	.11	.03	.04	24.07	.000		
Pair 5	DCH <sub>4</sub> - OCH <sub>4</sub>	3.83	.71	.11	34.91	.000		

Note: t= calculated value, P=level of significance, df= Degree of freedom, D = Deco junction, O=Oviore junction

Table 4.8 showed the significant difference between gas emitted in Airport junction (experimental site) and Oviore junction (control site), the result in the table 4.8 showed that there is significant difference in CO gas emitted in Airport junction and that of Oviore Junction (t=17.867, P<0.05), CO<sub>2</sub> gas emitted in Airport junction and Oviore junction (t=28.782, P<0.05). Significantly H<sub>2</sub>S, NO, CH<sub>4</sub> emitted in Airport junction and Oviore junction (t=5.034,P<0.05), (t=2.934, P<0.05) and (t=66.637, P<0.05) respectively.

**Table 4.8:** T-test: comparing the statistical difference between Airport junction and Oviore junction in their level of gas emission.

		Paired Di	Paired Differences					
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Т	p-value (2- tailed)		
Pair 1	AC0 - OC0	2.87	1.04	.160	17.87	.000		
Pair 2	$AC0_2 - OC0_2$	5.44	1.23	.189	28.78	.000		
Pair 3	$AH_2S - OH_2S$	.062	.080	.012	5.034	.000		
Pair 4	<b>AN0 - ON0</b>	.066	.146	.023	2.934	.005		
Pair 5	ACH <sub>4</sub> - OCH <sub>4</sub>	3.66	.356	.055	66.64	.000		

Note: t= calculated value, P=level of significance, df= Degree of freedom, A= Airport junction, O=Oviore junction

The result was not seen different on comparing the statistical difference between the emission at Petroleum Training Institute junction and Oviore junction. As shown in Table 4.9, CO (t=51.078, P<0.05), CO<sub>2</sub> (t=25.771, P<0.05) and CH<sub>4</sub> (t=68.783, P<0.05) were significantly difference between Petroleum Training Institute junction and Oviore junction and also higher than that of H<sub>2</sub>S (t=3.204, P<0.005) and NO (t=3.844, P<0.05). in essence, emission of gas in all the experimental site were significantly different from the control site and the positive values of the mean difference (as shown in all the tables) reveals that there were more emission in the experimental sites than the control site.

**Table 4.9**: T-test: comparing the statistical difference between Petroleum Training Institute junction and Oviore junction in their level of gas emission.

			Paired Differences					
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	T-test	p-value tailed)	(2-	
Pair 1	PC0 - OC0	3.85	.49	.075	51.08	.000		
Pair 2	$PC0_2 - OC0_2$	5.94	1.49	.231	25.77	.000		
Pair 3	$PH_2S - OH_2S$	.052	.106	.016	3.204	.003		
Pair 4	PN0 - ON0	.019	.032	.005	3.844	.000		
Pair 5	PCH <sub>4</sub> - OCH <sub>4</sub>	3.88	.366	.056	68.78	.000		

Note: t= calculated value, P=level of significance, *df*= Degree of freedom, P = Petroleum Training Institute junction, O=Oviore junction

Table 4.3 showed the significant difference between the emission of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>,  $H_2S$ , NO and  $CH_4$  in the Dry season and Wet season in Deco junction. The emission of CO in the Dry season is significantly different that of the Wet season (f=35.591 p<0.05). While the  $CO_2$  emission in both Dry and Wet season was seen to be statistically insignificant (F=0.00. P<0.00). The same statistical insignificant results also applies for the emission of  $H_2S$  and NO both in the Dry and Wet season (f=2.065.

P<0.05) and (F=0.984, P>0.05) respectively. However, the emission of CH<sub>4</sub> both in the Dry and Wet season was considered to be statistically significant (F=197.902, P<0.05). Hence, CO was present in all the study location at a lower quantity when converted to ppm. The findings from this study contradicts the research conducted by Akpan and Ndoke, (1999) in the Northern part of Nigeria which showed a higher value in CO concentration (1780-1840 ppm) in heavily congested areas in Kaduna and (1160-530 ppm) in Abuja affected the air quality. Also, the result findings do not agree with the results of Jerome (2000) that found the concentrations of CO in Lagos to be 852 ppm and CO-271 ppm in Ibadan and this were above the FEPA limits for CO-10ppm. Similarly, the findings contradicts the findings from the study conducted by Koku and Osuntogun (1999) in three cities in south western Nigeria that showed a higher level of CO following exposure to the impacts of urban road transportation on the ambient air. However, research conducted in the USA attributed the increase in these pollutants to 77% of CO levels, 80-90% of NOx, 36% of volatile organic compounds and 22% of particulate matter (USEPA, 1993).

From the Table 4.4 above, showed that the emission of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>S in Airport junction in the dry season significantly different from that of the Wet season representing (F=47.116, p<0.05), (F=28.743, p<0.05) and (F=16.696, P<0.05) respectively. While at the same Airport junction the emission of NO and CH<sub>4</sub> in the Dry season is not significantly different from that of the dry season (F=0.064, P>0.005) and (F=1.504, P>0.05). this result findings contradicts with the result findings of Okunola et al.(2012) that assessed the level of gaseous pollutants along high traffic roads in northern Nigeria consequently, in a study conducted by Jerome,

(2000) on ambient air pollutants in Lagos and Niger Delta Area, it was discovered that NO in Non-traffic urban Zone was between 81-81.5, 34-131.6 in Traffic zone, 22.0-295.0 in Niger delta area oil communities and 35-370 in Cities. However, this was above the normal FEPA Standards of 40-60ppm

The ANOVA result on the variation of gas emission at Petroleum Training Institute junction both in the Dry and Wet season in Table 4.5 showed all significant results especially the emission of CO was significantly different in both season (F=4.554, P<0.05). The emission of CO<sub>2</sub> in the Dry season was highly significant in variation with that emitted in wet season (F=158.734, P<0.05). The result was also the case of H<sub>2</sub>S, NO and CH<sub>4</sub> resulting that the emission of gas in the Dry season was significantly different that of the Wet season (F=9.265, P<0.05), (F=26.165, P<0.05) and (F=34.868, P<0.05) respectively. The findings from this study agree with the findings of IPCC (2014) on carbon dioxide ranged between 400ppm Buguma to 450ppm in Port Harcourt. Although, the measurements taken near oil processing facilities like gas flares regularly rise above 450ppm. It was also observed that the C0<sub>2</sub> was below the average normal range in the study conducted by Tawari and Abowei, (2012) air pollution in the Niger Delta area of Nigeria between when compared to the Nigerian Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAIQS) which stipulates a range of 600ppm.

Result from Oviore junction (which is the control site) showed a different result from other location (Deco junction, Airport junction and Petroleum Training Institute junction). From the table 4.6, it could be observed that the emission of CO, NO and CH<sub>4</sub> at Oviore junction was not significantly different in both season. (F=1.465,

p<0.05), (F=1.438, p<0.05) and (F = 0.366, p<0.05) respectively. On the other hand, there was a significant difference in the emission of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S in the both seasons. (f=38.007, P<0.05) and (f=5.391, P<0.05) respectively. In essence, the variation in the emission level of gas in the experimental sites (Deco junction, Airport junction and Petroleum Training Institute junction) is more than that in the control site (Oviore junction). The findings from this study is contrary to the study outcome of Uno *et al.*, (2013) that found out that Nitrogen dioxide concentration was highest in Bonny (187 μg/m3). The least concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> were at Ahoada, Buguma and Odukpani (53μg/m3). Though, the authors noted that Nitrogen dioxide is a chemical compound that is among one of the most prominent air pollutants. This result collaborates with the research findings of Kalabokas et al. (1999) that discovered a high correlation coefficient between traffic and NO in dry season as well as Okafor et al., (2009) that discovered low concentration of NO for Calabar metropolis in Nigeria.

### **Summary of findings**

The following are summary of important findings;

- i. The research has shown that the global environmental problem of air pollution has necessitated the evaluation of air pollution status in selected cities in Delta state, Nigeria. This is with the view of ascertaining the average seasonal variations of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, NO and CH<sub>4</sub> concentration in the selected cities. The results as shown in the Tables and Figures indicated that; the mean concentration of CO ranged from 5.01 7.90μg/m³ in Deco junction 6.01 8.80μg/m³ in Airport junction, 7.01 8.20μg/m³ in Petroleum Training Institute junction and 3.20 4.60μg/m³ in Oviore junction (control).
- ii. The research has shown that the mean concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> ranged from 3.20 16.20μg/m³ in Deco junction, 11.00 15.90μg/m³ in Airport junction, 11.90 15.80μg/m³in Petroleum Training Institute junction and 6.50 8.80mg/m³ in Oviore junction. Hence, CO<sub>2</sub> were present in all the studied locations. This showed that there are other sources contributing to the emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>in the study area such as; refuse burning, car exhaust, power generations and flaring at the Warri refinery.
- iii. The research has shown that the mean concentration of  $H_2S$  ranged from  $0.13-1.60\mu g/m^3$  in Deco junction,  $0.09-0.15\mu g/m^3$  in Airport junction,  $0.01-0.19\mu g/m^3$ in Petroleum Training Institute junction and  $0.01-0.40\mu g/m^3$  in Oviore junction. Meanwhile,  $H_2S$  were present in all sites. This showed that there are other sources contributing to the emission of  $H_2S$

- such as; automobile exhausts, power generators, refuse burning and flaring from Warri refinery.
- iv. The research has shown that the mean concentration of NO ranged from 0.10 0.18μg/m³ in Deco junction, 0.02 0.90μg/m³in Airport junction, 0.01–0.11μg/m³ in Petroleum Training Institute junction and 0.01 0.10μg/m³ and Oviore junction. Hence, NO were present in all sites at a lower quantity. This showed that the emission of NO was possibly from the flaring at Warri refinery and that of fertilizer in agricultural activities in the region.
- v. The research has shown that the mean concentration of CH<sub>4</sub>ranged from 3.20 5.20μg/m³ in Deco junction, 3.02 4.33μg/m³in Airport junction, 4.09 4.69μg/m³ in Petroleum Training Institute junction and 0.00 0.09μg/m³ in Oviore junction. Hence, CH<sub>4</sub> were found to be present in all the study locations at a low quality. This showed that there are other contributory factors to emission of CH<sub>4</sub> such as automobile exhaust, power generators and flaring from Warri refinery. CH<sub>4</sub> was lower than DPR Nigeria limit at all sites which may due to the control emission in the industrial activities in the area.

### **CHAPTER FIVE**

### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **Conclusion**

The results of this study showed that the residents of Deco junction, Airport junction, Petroleum Training Institute junction and its environs in Warri Delta State Nigeria are not being exposed to high level of air pollutants such as CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, CH<sub>4</sub>, and NO. The statistical analysis of the concentration of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, CH<sub>4</sub> and NO measured in the various site were below the ambient air quality monitoring stipulated by Department of Petroleum Resources.

### Recommendations

Based on the findings and conclusion drawn from this study, the following were the recommendations of this study:

- Ensure that various industries and commercial firms in effurun and its environs
  in Delta State do not exceed the required level of gas emissions possible for
  polluting the air.
- ii. Government should set up viable environmental protection agencies to monitor policies on pollution as well as supervise the various activities in the industries in commercial sites of Warri.
- iii. There should be a set target on how to reduce the level of gas emitted into the environment by individuals and industries to curb incidence of air pollution.

- iv. Public enlightenment should be carried out in other to educate the people of the hazards associated with air pollution.
- v. Government should embark renewable energy, clean energy and clearer air initiative.

### **Contribution to Knowledge**

- 1. The research has provided a base-line data for Carbon monoxide (CO), Carbon (IV) oxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), Hydrogen sulphide (H<sub>2</sub>S), Nitrous oxide (NO) and Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) in the study area.
- 2. It has established that the concentrations of these pollutants are higher in the dry season than wet season.
- 3. The research has established that the concentrations of these pollutants are within regulatory guidelines; however, the concentration is in the order of Petroleum Training Institute junction, Airport junction, Deco junction and Oviore junctions.

#### REFERENCES

- Aaron, K.K. (2006). Human Rights Violation and Environmental Degradation in the Niger-Delta. In: Elizabeth P. and O. Baden (Eds.), Activating Human Rights. Barne, Oxford, New York.
- Admassu, M. and Wubeshet, M. (2006) Air Pollution: Lecture Notes for Environmental Health Science Students. University of Gondar Publications, Ethiopia, 5-6.

  <a href="http://www.cartercenter.org/resources/pdfs/health/ephti/library/lecture\_notes/en\_vhealth\_science\_students/AirPollution.pdf">http://www.cartercenter.org/resources/pdfs/health/ephti/library/lecture\_notes/en\_vhealth\_science\_students/AirPollution.pdf</a>
- Akpan, U.G. and Ndoke, P.N. (1999). Contribution of Vehicular Traffic Emission to CO<sub>2</sub> Emission in Kaduna and Abuja. Federal University of Technology Minna, Nigeria.
- Akpoborie, I.A.; Ekakite, A. O.; Adaikpoh, E.O. (2000), The Quality of Groundwater from Dug wells in Parts of the Western Niger Delta. *Knowledge Review* **2**: 72-79.
- Ana, G.R., Mynepalli, K.C., Sridhar, E.E. and Bamgboye, E.A. (2009). Environmental risk factors and health outcomes in selected communities of the Niger Delta Area, Nigeria. *Perspectives in Public Health*; **129**(4): 183-191.
- Andersen, Z.J., Hvidberg, M., Jensen, S.S., Ketzel, M., Loft, S., Sorensen, M. & Raaschou-Nielsen, O. (2011). Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Long-term Exposure to Traffic-relate Air Pollution: a cohort study, *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, **183**(4): 455-461.
- Andersen, Z.J., Kristiansen, L.C., Andersen, K.K., Olsen, T.S., Hvidberg, M., Jensen, S.S., Raaschou-Nielsen, O. (2011). Stroke and Long-Term Exposure to Outdoor Air Pollution From Nitrogen Dioxide: A Cohort Study. Stroke; *A Journal of Cerebral Circulation*, **124**(3). Pp 120-134.
- Anjaneyulu, Y. (2005). Introduction to Environmental Science BS Publications Hyderabad India.
- B.B.C. (2005). Air Pollution Causes Early Deaths". BBC. February 21, 2005. Retrieved December 8, 2015.
- Bhatia, S.C. (2006). Environmental Chemistry CBS Publishers and Distributors. Rao, C.S. (2006): Environmental pollution Control Engineering. New Age international Publishers. Revised Second Edition.
- Bingheng C. & Haidong, K. (2008). Air pollution and population health: A global challenge. Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine **13**:94-101.

- Brook, R.D., Rajagopalan, S., Pope, C.A., Brook, J.R. & Bhatnagar, A. (2010). Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: An update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 121: 2331–2378.
- Brooks, G.F., Carrol, K.C., Butel, J.S. & Morse, S.A. (2010). Jawetz, Melnick, and Adelberg's Medical Microbiology 24th Edition. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Inc. New York (pp. 145-160).
- Chen, H., Goldberg, M.S., Villeneuve, P.J. (2008). A Systematic Review of the Relation between Long-term Exposure to Ambient Air Pollution and Chronic Diseases. *Reviews on Environmental Health*, **23**(4): 243–97.
- Colbeck, I., Nasir, Z.A. & Ali, Z. (2010). The state of ambient air quality in Pakistana review. *Environmental Science Pollution Resources*, 17:49-63.
- Cole, S. and Gray, E. (2015). "New NASA Satellite Maps Show Human Fingerprint on Global Air Quality". NASA.
- Daly, A. and Zannetti, P. (2007). An Introduction to Air Pollution— Definitions, Classifications, and History, The Arab School for Science and Technology (ASST) and The Enviro Comp Institute, Fremont, CA (USA)
- Daly, A. and Zannetti. P. (2007). An Introduction to Air Pollution Definitions, Classifications, and History. Chapter 1 of AMBIENT AIR POLLUTION (P. Zannetti, D. Al-Ajmi, and S. Al-Rashied, Editors). Published by The Arab School for Science and Technology (ASST) (http://www.arabschool.org.sy) and The EnviroComp Institute (http://www.envirocomp.org/).
- Dohmen, G.P., Loppers, A. & Langebartels, C. (1990). Biochemical Response of Norway Spruce (*Picea Abies* (L) Karst). Towards 14-Month Exposure to Ozone and Acid mist, effect on amino acid, Glutathione and Polyamine Titers. *Environmental Pollution*, **64**:375-383.
- Efe, S.I. (2008). Spatial distribution of particulate air pollution in Nigerian cities: Implications for human health. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.cieh.org/Jehr3.aspx">http://www.cieh.org/Jehr3.aspx</a>.
- Encyclopedia of Earth (2015). Air Pollution, from http://www.eoearth.org/article/Air\_pollution. Retrieved December 8, 2015
- Felzer, B., Kicklighter, D., Melillo, J., Wang, C., Zhuang, Q. & Prinn, R. (2004). Effects of Ozone on Net Primary Production and Carbon Sequestration in the Conterminous United States Using a Biogeochemistry Model. *Tellus B*, 56: 230-248.

- Felzer, B., Reilly, J., Melillo, J., Kicklighter, D., Wang, C., Prinn, R., Sarofim, M. & Zhuang, Q. (2004): Past and Future Effects of Ozone on Net Primary Production and Carbon Sequestration Using a Global Biogeochemical Model. MIT JPSPGC Report 103.
- Flowers, M.D. Fiscus, E.L. & Burkey, K.O. (2007); Photosynthesis, chlorophyll flourescene and yield of snap bean (Phaseolus Vulgaris L) genotypes differing in sensitivity to Ozone. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 61:190-198.
- Fuggle, R.F. (2004). Africa Environment Outlook Lake Victoria: A Case Study of Complex Interrelationships United Nations Environment Programme, pp. 75-85.
- Gehring, U., Wijga, A.H., Brauer, M., Fischer, P., de Jongste, J.C., Kerkhof, M. & Brunekreef, B. (2010). Traffic-related Air Pollution and the Development of Asthma and Allergies During the First 8 Years of Life, (Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't, American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 181(6), 596-603.
- Godish, T. (2004). Air Quality, 4th Edition, CRC Press, London.
- Goldstein, A.H., Koven, C.D., Heald, C.L. & Fung, I.Y. (2009). Biogenic Carbon and Anthropogenic Pollutants Combine to Form a Cooling Haze over the Southeastern United States. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. Retrieved 2010-12-05.
- Hoque, M.A., Banu, M.N.A. & Oluma, E. (2007). Exogenous proline and glycinebetaine increase NaCl-induced Ascorbate-glythione cycle enzyme activities and praline improves salt tolerance more than glycinebetaine in tobacco bright yellow-2 suspension-cultural cells. *Journal of Plant Physiology*; **164**:1457-1468.
- Horsefall, J.M. (1998): Principles of Environmental pollution with physical chemical and biological emphasis. Port Harcourt, Metropolis Ltd; 62-124.
- Ibn, Al-Gargani, A.K. (2012). Environmental Pollution Control in Nigeria: Problems, Solutions and Advocacy. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.scribd.com/.../environment">www.scribd.com/.../environment</a>.
- Jacoby, H. D. (2004) Informing Climate Policy given Incommensurable Benefits Estimates. *Global Environmental Change Part A*, 14(3): 287-279.
- Jerome, A. (2000). Use of Economic instruments for Environmental Management in Nigeria. Paper Presented at Workshop on Environmental Management in Nigeria and Administration (NCEMA).

- Khan, S. (2005). Environmental Problems due to rapid unplanned urbanization of urban city. B.Sc. Engineering Thesis, Civil Environmental Engineering department, Shahjalal University of Science and Technology, Sylhet, Bangladesh.
- Klumpp, G., Furlan, C.M., Domingos, M. (2000). Response of stress indicators and growth parameters of Tibouchina Pulchra Cogn exposed to air and soil pollution near the industrial complex of Cubatao, Brazil. *The Science of The Total Environment.* **246**:79-91.
- Koku, C.A. and Osuntogun, B.A. (1999). Environmental impacts of road transportation in Southwestern States of Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Science*, 7(16): 2536-2360.
- Kumar, S. and Katoria, D. (2013). Air Pollution and its Control Measures, *International Journal of Environmental Engineering and Management*, **4**(5): 445-450.
- Ladan, S.I. (2013). Environmental Resource Management for self Reliance in Nigeria. Dan Masani Multi-disciplinary Journal, 2:1-4 pp 80-89.
- Ladan, S.I. and Ajao, A.A. (2005), Environmental Education as a Challenge to Katsina State in the 21st Century. *Kusugu Journal*, 1, 4 pp 92-99.
- Lucking, A.J., Lundback, M., Mills, N.L., Faratian, D., Barath, S.L., Pourazar, J., Cassee, F. R., Donaldson, K., Boon, N.A., Badimon, J.J., Sandstrom, T., Blomberg, A. and Newby, D. E. (2008). Diesel Exhaust Inhalation Increases Thrombus Formation in Man, *European Heart Journal*, **29**(24): 3043–3051.
- Magbagbeola, I. (2002). Environmental Underdevelopment of the Niger Delta: An Eclectic View. In: Orubu, C., D.O. Ogisi and R.N. Okoh (Eds.), the Petroleum Industry, Economy and the Niger-Delta Environment. pp: 32-40.
- Makinde, R. (2000) 'How to Make Nigerian Cities Liveable'. The Guardian, Vol. 17, No. 7953, Guardian Newspapers Limited, Isolo, Lagos.
- Mateen, F.J. and Brook, R.D. (2011). Air Pollution as an Emerging Global Risk Factor for Stroke. JAMA, 305(12): 1240–1241.
- Miller, K.A., Siscovick, D.S., Sheppard, L., Shepherd, K., Sullivan, J.H., Anderson, G.L. & Kaufman, J.D. (2007). Long-term Exposure to Air Pollution and Incidence of Cardiovascular Events in Women. *The New England Journal of Medicine* (Research Support, N.I.H., Extramural Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S.) 356(5): 447–458.

- Nosike, A.N. & Opara J.A. (2003). Environmental Health in the Niger Delta: perspectives on Ecology and Sustainable Development, Port- Harcourt: CECD Press.
- Odigure, J.O. & Abdulkareem, A.S. (2001). *Modeling of pollutant migration from gas flaring in the Niger Delta area*, Journal of Association for the advancement of modelling and simulation techniques in enterprises Lyon, France, 2001, 62(3), p. 57-65.
- Odigure, J.O. (1998). Safety Loss and Pollution Control in Chemical Process Industries. Jodigs and Associates, Minna, Nigeria, pp. 89-93.
- Odilara, C.A., Egwaikhide, P.A., Esekheigbe, A. & Emua, S.A. (2006). Air pollution Tolerance Indices (APTI) of some plant species around Ilupeju Industrial Area, Lagos. *Journal of Engineering Science and Applications*; **4**(2)97-101.
- Okebukola, P.O. (2001). Perspectives on Waste and Waste Management In P.O. Okebukola and B. B. Akpan eds. Strategies for Teaching Waste Management, Ibadan: STAN.
- Okoro, I.O. (2012), Smoke from vehicles destroys air quality in Lagos, Nigeria. Retrieved from http://www.ecojournalism.org/en/march2012/articles/120/
- Olobaniyi, S.B. and Efe, S.I. (2007). Comparative assessment of rainwater and groundwater quality in an oil producing area of Nigeria: Environmental and health implications. *Journal of Environmental Health Resources*, **2**(6):111-118.
- Opara, J.A. (2003). Fertilizer Usage and its effect on Environmental Health: Issues and Challenges, *Journal of Environmental Management and Education*, **1**(1): 103-110.
- Opara, J.A. (2008). Dysfunctionalism in Urban Space: The Dynamics of Environmental Justice, Migration and Human Security in Cities of Niger Delta Region, A Submission to the UNU-EHS International Conference on Environment, Forces Migration and Social Vulnerability, Bonn Germany.
- Ozkaynak, H. (1999). Exposure Assessment in Air pollution and Health, Holgate ST, Samet, J.M., Koren, H.S. & Maynard, R., eds. London: Academic Press.
- Pennise, D. & Smith, K. (2011). Indoor Air Pollution and Household Energy, WHO and UNEP.
- Prinn, R.G. (2003). The Cleansing Capacity of the Atmosphere. Annual Reviews Environment and Resources, 28: 29-57.

- Prinn, R.G., Reilly, J. Sarofim, M. Wang, C. & Felzer, B. (2005). Effects of Air Pollution Control on Climate, Report No. 118
- Provost, E., Madhloum, N., Int Panis, L., De Boever, P. & Nawrot, T.S. (2015). Carotid Intima-media Thickness, a Marker of Subclinical Atherosclerosis, and Particulate Air Pollution Exposure: The Meta-analytical Evidence. PLOS ONE 10(5): DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0127014.
- Rao, C.S. (1979): Environmental pollution Control Engineering. New Age international Publishers. Revised Second Edition.
- Saber, E.M. & Heydari, G. (2012). Flow Patterns and Deposition Fraction of Particles In The Range Of 0.1–10 Mm At Trachea And The First Third Generations Under Different Breathing Conditions. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, **42**(5): 631–638.
- Singh, S.K.& Rao, D.N. (1993); Evaluation of the plants for their tolerance to air pollution Proc. Symp on Air Pollution control held at IIT, Delhi; 218-224.
- Sodhi, G.S. (2005). Fundamental concepts of Environmental Chemistry. Second edition.
- Tawari, C.C. and Abowei, J.F.N. (2012). Air Pollution in the Niger Delta Area of Nigeria. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*; **1**(2): 94-117.
- The Guardian (2008). Study Links Traffic Pollution to Thousands of Deaths. The Guardian (London, UK: Guardian Media Group). 2008-04-15. Archived from the original on 20 April 2008. Retrieved 2015-12-08.
- The New York Times International Weekly 2nd February 2014 'Beijing's Air Would Be Called Good in Delhi' by Gardiner Harris.
- Tornqvist, H.K., Mills, N.L., Gonzalez, M., Miller, M.R., Robinson, S.D., Megson,
  I. L., MacNee, W., Donaldson, K., Söderberg, S., Newby, D.E., Sandström, T.
  & Blomberg, A. (2007). Persistent Endothelial Dysfunction in Humans after
  Diesel Exhaust Inhalation, American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 176(4): 395–400.
- UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme) (2010). "Harmful Substances and Hazardous Wastes" and "Climate Change" chapters in UNEP Year Book 2010: New Science and Developments in Our Changing Environment. http://www.unep.org/yearbook/2010/PDF/2\_Harmful\_substances\_2010\_low.pdf
- UNEP Year Book (2014). Emerging Issues Update- Air Pollution: World's Worst Environmental Health Risk.

- Uno, U.A., Ekpo, B.O., Etuk, V.E., Etuk, H.S. and Ibok, U.J. (2013) Comparative Study of Levels of Trace Metals in Airborne Particulates in Some Cities of Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. *Environmental and Pollution*, 2, 110-121.
- US- EPA (2004).Clean Air Nonroad Diesel Rule (PDF). EPA. May 2004. p. 5. Retrieved 8 Dec. 2015.
- USEPA, (1993). Guide to Environmental Issues, Doc. No520/B-94-01. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA.
- Vallero, D.A. (1996). Fundamentals of Air Pollution, Elsevier: Academic Press.
- Woodford, C. (2010) Air pollution. Retrieved from http://www.explainthatstuff.com/air-pollution-introduction.html
- World Health Organization, (2005). Air Quality Guidelines for particulate Matter, Ozone, Nitrogen Dioxide and Sulfur Dioxide. Global update 2005. Summary of Risk Assessment. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2006/ WHOSDE PHEOEH 06.02eng.pdf?ua=1
- World Health Organization, (2011). Air Quality and Health, Retrieved December 8, 2015 from www.who.int. Accessed 20<sup>th</sup> April, 2015.
- World Health Organization, (2014). "7 Million Premature Deaths Annually linked to Air Pollution". WHO. 25 March 2014. Retrieved 8 Dec. 2015 from http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2014/air-pollution/en/
- WorstPolluted.org. (2010). Reports. Archived from the original on 11August 2010. From http://www.worstpolluted.org/. Retrieved 2015-08-29
- Yan-Ju, L. & Hui, D. (2008): Variation in air pollution tolerance index of plant near a steel factory; implications for landscape-plant species selection for industrial areas. *Environment and Development*, **1**(4)24-30.

# APPENDIX I RAW RESULT

### APPENDIX

Days	CO (μg/m3)	CO <sub>2</sub> (μg/m3)	H <sub>2</sub> S (μg/m3)	NO (μg/m3)	CH <sub>4</sub> (μg/m3)
1	12.01	0.14	0.15	0.07	4.58
	12.3	0.15	0.09	0.09	4.63
	12	0.13	0.09	0.09	4.6
2	12.13	0.11	0.14	0.08	4.55
	12.7	0.11	0.06	0.06	4.61
	12.6	0.14	0.06	0.06	4.59
3	12.13	0.14	0.12	0.04	4.67
	12.9	0.1	0.07	0.07	4.72
	12.1	0.1	0.06	0.06	4.64
4	12.15	0.14	0.11	0.08	4.5
	12.3	0.13	0.09	0.09	4.53
	12.4	0.11	0.1	0.1	4.56
5	12.19	0.17	0.12	0.09	4.69
	12.9	0.14	0.09	0.09	4.4
	12.3	0.15	0.09	0.09	4.53
6	12.12	0.14	0.17	0.1	4.21
	12.9	0.14	0.01	0.01	4.18
	13	0.13	0.11	0.11	4.17
7	12.12	0.12	0.16	0.09	4.15
	12.8	0.17	0.1	0.1	4.13
	12.8	0.19	0.07	0.07	4.13

Days	CO (μg/m3)	CO <sub>2</sub> (μg/m3)	H <sub>2</sub> S (μg/m3)	NO (μg/m3)	CH <sub>4</sub> (μg/m3)
1	13.98	0.02	0.04	<0.01	4.28
	13.8	0.03	0.05	0.05	4.17
	13.9	0.04	0.05	0.05	3.91
2	13.02	0.03	0.07	0.08	4.11
	13.8	0.01	0.09	0.09	4.11
	13.5	0.03	0.09	0.09	4.09
3	14.03	0.08	0.12	0.03	4.15
	14.8	0.05	0.01	0.01	4.19
	14.2	0.03	0.03	0.03	4.1
4	14.94	0.09	0.08	0.05	4.21
	14.8	0.01	0.04	0.04	4.13
	13.7	0.02	0.05	0.05	4.18
5	13.01	0.03	0.05	0.03	4.2
	14.9	0.01	0.03	0.03	4.29
	14.4	0.07	0.03	0.03	4.21
6	14.7	0.08	0.5	0.05	4.32
	14.7	0.01	0.05	0.05	4.3
	14.8	0.02	0.04	0.04	4.24
7	14.24	0.08	0.1	0.03	4.2
	14.7	0.04	0.01	0.01	4.18
	14.7	0.04	0.02	0.02	4.18

Days	CO (μg/m3)	CO <sub>2</sub> (μg/m3)	H <sub>2</sub> S (μg/m3)	NO (μg/m3)	CH <sub>4</sub> (μg/m3)
1	4.6	7.27	0.04	0.05	0.1
1					
	4.6	7.8	0.05	0.06	0.1
	4.5	7.8	0.2	0.06	0.2
2	4.1	8.8	0.4	0.04	0.4
	4.3	8.3	0.3	0.05	0.6
	4.2	8.4	0.01	0.01	0.6
3	4.6	8.3	0.05	0.03	0.1
	4.3	8.3	0.06	0.04	0.1
	4.2	7.9	0.04	0.05	0.5
4	3.9	8	0.04	0.01	0.7
	4.3	7.8	0.07	0.06	0.9
	4.3	7.4	0.08	0.02	0.6
5	4.1	8.2	0.04	0.06	0.8
	4.1	7.9	0.05	0.06	0.1
	4.5	8	0.01	0.05	0.7
6	3.8	7.3	0.05	0.1	0.3
	3.6	7.9	0.01	0.07	0.5
	3.9	7.9	0.01	0.05	0.4
7	3.2	8	0.03	0.01	0.5
	3.4	8.2	0.01	0.03	0.8
	3.3	8.2	0.01	0.03	0.2

Days	CO (μg/m3)	CO <sub>2</sub> (μg/m3)	H <sub>2</sub> S (μg/m3)	NO (μg/m3)	CH <sub>4</sub> (μg/m3)
1	4.2	7.1	0.01	0.04	0.02
	4.2	7	0.03	0.06	0.08
	4.2	7	0.02	0.01	0.1
2	4.2	7	0.04	0.05	0.8
	4.5	7.2	0.04	0.07	0.9
	4.3	6.9	0.03	0.06	0.6
3	4.3	7	0.02	0.01	0.5
	4.2	6.8	0.01	0.04	0.5
	4.2	6.6	0.01	0.05	0.4
4	4.6	6.9	0.01	0.04	0.6
	4.6	7	0.01	0.04	0.1
	4.5	6.6	0.03	0.01	0.1
5	4.5	6.5	0.01	0.03	0.8
	4.1	5.9	0.04	0.03	0.5
	4	6.5	0.04	0.03	0.9
6	4.4	8.2	0.03	0.04	0.5
	4	7.9	0.01	0.01	0.4
	4.3	7.7	0.03	0.04	0.8
7	3.5	8.4	0.01	0.05	0.5
	3.7	7	0.03	0.06	0.4
	4.1	7	0.01	0.01	0.2

# APPENDIX II ANOVA RESULT

### **Deco Junction**

		Mean	Std. Dev	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
				ETTO	Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
	Dry Season	7.0790	.56785	.12392	6.8206	7.3375	6.00	7.90
DCO	Wet Season	6.0062	.59723	.13033	5.7343	6.2780	5.01	7.10
	Total	6.5426	.79124	.12209	6.2961	6.7892	5.01	7.90
	Dry Season	13.7133	2.60907	.56935	12.5257	14.9010	3.20	16.20
$DCO_2$	Wet Season	13.7143	.39152	.08544	13.5361	13.8925	13.00	14.90
	Total	13.7138	1.84266	.28433	13.1396	14.2880	3.20	16.20
	Dry Season	.2505	.31080	.06782	.1090	.3919	.13	1.60
$DH_2S$	Wet Season	.1529	.01736	.00379	.1450	.1608	.13	.19
	Total	.2017	.22295	.03440	.1322	.2711	.13	1.60
	Dry Season	.1433	.01932	.00422	.1345	.1521	.10	.17
DNO	Wet Season	.1495	.02109	.00460	.1399	.1591	.11	.18
	Total	.1464	.02022	.00312	.1401	.1527	.10	.18
	Dry Season	4.8505	.28227	.06160	4.7220	4.9790	4.20	5.20
DCH <sub>4</sub>	Wet Season	3.6857	.25355	.05533	3.5703	3.8011	3.20	4.10
	Total	4.2681	.64627	.09972	4.0667	4.4695	3.20	5.20

# **Airport Junction**

		Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
	Dry Season	7.7086	.82199	.17937	7.3344	8.0827	6.20	9.30
ACO	Wet Season	6.3367	.40398	.08816	6.1528	6.5206	6.00	7.30
	Total	7.0226	.94404	.14567	6.7284	7.3168	6.00	9.30
	Dry Season	13.8381	1.38365	.30194	13.2083	14.4679	11.00	15.90
ACO <sub>2</sub>	Wet Season	12.0905	.56294	.12284	11.8342	12.3467	10.90	12.90
	Total	12.9643	1.36772	.21104	12.5381	13.3905	10.90	15.90
	Dry Season	.1010	.00831	.00181	.0972	.1047	.09	.12
$AH_2S$	Wet Season	.1195	.01910	.00417	.1108	.1282	.10	.15
	Total	.1102	.01732	.00267	.1048	.1156	.09	.15
	Dry Season	.1014	.02104	.00459	.0918	.1110	.05	.14
ANO	Wet Season	.1129	.20592	.04493	.0191	.2066	.02	.90
	Total	.1071	.14468	.02233	.0621	.1522	.02	.90
	Dry Season	4.1367	.29359	.06407	4.0030	4.2703	3.02	4.33
ACH <sub>4</sub>	Wet Season	4.0524	.11406	.02489	4.0005	4.1043	3.80	4.15
	Total	4.0945	.22408	.03458	4.0247	4.1644	3.02	4.33

# **Petroleum Training Institute Junction**

		Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	95% Co		Minimum	Maximum
			Dev.	EIIOI	Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
	Dry Season	8.1233	.04408	.00962	8.1033	8.1434	8.01	8.20
PCO	Wet Season	7.8900	.49912	.10892	7.6628	8.1172	7.01	8.99
]	Total	8.0067	.36934	.05699	7.8916	8.1218	7.01	8.99
	Dry Season	12.5524	.36554	.07977	12.3860	12.7188	11.90	13.20
PCO <sub>2</sub>	Wet Season	14.3714	.55149	.12035	14.1204	14.6225	13.50	15.80
	Total	13.4619	1.03003	.15894	13.1409	13.7829	11.90	15.80
	Dry Season	.1362	.02418	.00528	.1252	.1472	.10	.19
PH <sub>2</sub> S	Wet Season	.0652	.10405	.02271	.0179	.1126	.01	.50
	Total	.1007	.08280	.01278	.0749	.1265	.01	.50
	Dry Season	.0781	.02316	.00505	.0676	.0886	.01	.11
PNO	Wet Season	.0414	.02330	.00508	.0308	.0520	.01	.09
	Total	.0598	.02951	.00455	.0506	.0690	.01	.11
	Dry Season	4.4652	.20865	.04553	4.3703	4.5602	4.13	4.72
PCH <sub>4</sub>	Wet Season	4.1738	.08726	.01904	4.1341	4.2135	3.91	4.32
	Total	4.3195	.21611	.03335	4.2522	4.3869	3.91	4.72

## **Oviore Junction**

		Mean	Std. Dev	Std. Error		onfidence for Mean	Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
	Dry Season	4.0857	.42460	.09266	3.8924	4.2790	3.20	4.60
OCO	Wet Season	4.2190	.27316	.05961	4.0947	4.3434	3.50	4.60
	Total	4.1524	.35902	.05540	4.0405	4.2643	3.20	4.60
	Dry Season	7.9843	.36930	.08059	7.8162	8.1524	7.27	8.80
OCO <sub>2</sub>	Wet Season	7.0571	.58187	.12697	6.7923	7.3220	5.90	8.40
İ	Total	7.5207	.67218	.10372	7.3112	7.7302	5.90	8.80
	Dry Season	.0743	.10171	.02220	.0280	.1206	.01	.40
OH <sub>2</sub> S	Wet Season	.0224	.01221	.00266	.0168	.0279	.01	.04
	Total	.0483	.07622	.01176	.0246	.0721	.01	.40
	Dry Season	.0448	.02228	.00486	.0346	.0549	.01	.10
ONO	Wet Season	.0371	.01875	.00409	.0286	.0457	.01	.07
	Total	.0410	.02070	.00319	.0345	.0474	.01	.10
	Dry Season	.4095	.27911	.06091	.2825	.5366	.00	.90
OCH <sub>4</sub>	Wet Season	.4619	.28163	.06146	.3337	.5901	.02	.90
	Total	.4357	.27820	.04293	.3490	.5224	.00	.90

## APPENDIX III

# Aeroqual gas monitoring kit



# **One of the Locations**

